## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	LECTUAL PROPERTY I,	
L.P., et al.	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 4:10-CV-01059-SBA
TIVO INC.	v.	STIPULATION AND KRROPOSSERS ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	1
		net and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties a	agree to participate in the fo	ollowing ADR process:
Cour	rt Processes:  Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation Mediation (ADR L.R. 6	n (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
appreciably ADR phone	more likely to meet their n conference and may not fi	y settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is eeds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an le this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for all Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Priva ✓	ate Process: Private ADR (please ide	entify process and provider) The parties will compile a short
-	<del>-</del>	a mediator, time, and date for private mediation in this matter.
The parties a	•	ion by: e (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
✓	other requested deadline	Parties to determine appropriate D/L for this case and submit w/docket control ord
Dated: June 3	, 2010	/s/ Kevin Cadwell Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: June 3.	, 2010	/s/ Ellison Turner Attorney for Defendant

## Case 4:10-cv-01059-SBA Document 29 Filed 06/04/10 Page 2 of 2

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

## KAROROSENDE ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration
Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
Mediation
X Private ADR

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: \_\_6/4/10 \_\_\_\_\_

Sandre B. Ormetrag

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

**JUDGE**